# **Disadvantaged Student (Pupil Premium) Strategy 2018-19**

1. Summary information							
School	hool The City of Leicester College, Downing Drive, Leicester LE5 6LN						
Academic Year	2018/19Total PP budget£349,690.00Date of most recent PP Review						
Total number of pupils Years 7-11 (+ Post-16)			380 (23.5%)	Date for next internal review of this strategy	May 2019		

2. High-level strategic objectives for disadvantaged students ("Disadvantaged First"):

The objective of the "Disadvantaged First" Strategy is to dramatically diminish the attainment and attendance gaps between our disadvantaged students and students without disadvantage both locally (in-school) and nationally. There are three high-level strategic objectives:

- 1. Continue to improve the quality of teaching and learning across the college in order to raise attainment and accelerate the progress of disadvantaged students, particularly the most-able, by ensuring that teachers plan activities which meet their needs.
- 2. Improve further the attendance of disadvantaged students and reduce the current gap when compared to their nondisadvantaged peers.
- 3. Raise the aspirations and expectations of our disadvantaged students (and their parents/carers where necessary), build their 'cultural capital', and ensure that any specific barriers to achievement are identified and overcome through targeted support.

"Disadvantaged First": Teaching staff will be aware of the needs of every disadvantaged student, including the most able, in each of their classes and will make appropriate interactions with these students a priority every lesson. This should ensure that their individual needs are being met and expectations are consistently high. The absolute focus will be on **quality first teaching** with targeted intervention where appropriate. Contact with parents/guardians for this group of students will be made <u>regularly and frequently</u> by designated student 'advocates' who will have a clear oversight of any specific barriers to learning.

Disadvantaged students will benefit from additional support, including financial, to ensure that they are:

- a. able to travel to and from College safely and efficiently,
- b. provided with resources including revision guides and stationary which directly support positive study habits,
- c. are able to access enrichment activities and experiences such as theatre trips, residential experiences,
- d. do not go hungry throughout the College day.

3. Current attainment and progress		
	Pupils eligible for PP (all)	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average)
% Achieving level 4+ BASICS Eng and Maths (2017-2018)	61.5% (63.1%)	
% Achieving Level 5+ BASICS Eng and Maths (2017-2018)	33.3% (39.8%)	
Progress 8 score average 2016	- 0.259	-0.104
Attainment 8 score 2016	43.82	49.72
Progress 8 score average 2017	-0.436	-0.105
Attainment 8 score 2017	38.28	42.60
Ave Total Progress 8 score 2018	-0.128 (0.022)	
Ave Total Attainment 8 score 2018	41.38 (44.63)	

4. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)
In-school barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor literacy skills)

Α.	Low literacy and language skills on entry to the school, particularly language comprehension, are lower for disadvantaged students (especially those with EAL) than for others which prevents them from making rapid progress in KS3 (Y7&8) and therefore having to 'catch-up' in KS4.							
В.	<b>Numeracy skills</b> are lower for disadvantaged students entering Year 7 and across KS3 compared to others. Consequently, disadvantaged students (including lower, middle and higher attaining students) make less progress in maths than other high-attaining students across KS3 and 4. This prevents sustained high achievement at the end of KS4.							
C.	<b>Quality First Teaching</b> : In June 2018, Ofsted commented 'You have introduced a number of strategies to improve the progress of disadvantaged pupils. These range from pastoral support to a whole-school approach to teaching, which is consistently applied by staffCurrent disadvantaged pupils are making more rapid progress than in the past.' However the report also set the target: 'Ensure that teachers plan activities which meet the needs of different groups of pupils' – which includes disadvantaged pupils.							
Exter	nal barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)							
D.	Attendance: In 2016-17, the overall, end of year attendance figure (HT 1-6) for disadvantaged students across all year groups was 90.10% compared to 93.9% for all students and there was a high proportion, 22.3%, of disadvantaged students deemed to be PA compared to 17.85% for all students.							
	Comparative data for 2017-18 suggests a significantly improved picture but the attendance and PA gaps for disadvantaged students, whilst diminished, are still too high and need to be reduced further to at least national average levels:							
	<ul> <li>End of year attendance figure (HT 1-6) for disadvantaged students across all year groups was 92.7% compared to 94.7% for all students.</li> <li>PA for DA students reduced to 19.58% compared to 12.4% for all students.</li> </ul>							
	'Attendance has improved, particularly for disadvantaged pupils and those who have SEN and/or disabilities. The rates of persistent absence of these groups of pupils have also declined; however, they remain above the national average. Improving attendance remains a priority.' (Ofsted June 2018)							
E.	<b>Readiness for Learning:</b> – many disadvantaged students across the college lack the aspiration or ambition required to secure strong enough outcomes to achieve successful transition to further and higher education, employment or training. Too many disadvantaged students make poor choices about their learning behaviours and many have insufficient 'cultural capital' to support successful transition and progression.							
F.	Social, emotional and mental health concerns: Across the school, there is a growing proportion of disadvantaged students who require additional specialist support for their complex social, emotional and mental health needs which impact negatively on personal development, behaviour and welfare.							

5. Desired out	5. Desired outcomes and planned expenditure: 2018-19							
	<ol> <li>Continue to improve the quality of teaching and learning across the college in order to raise attainment and accelerate the progress of disadvantaged students, particularly the most-able, by ensuring that teachers plan activities which meet their needs. (Amount allocated £?)</li> </ol>							
Strategic Objective	Chosen action / approach	Cost	Outcomes	Staff lead	Review			
1. Improving Teaching and Learning	1.1 Embed DA First strategy: ensuring that DA students, in Y7 – 13, are prioritised, challenged and expectations raised.	£0	<ul> <li>SISRA analyses will show a closing of the attainment gap between disadvantaged and non- disadvantaged students across all subjects and ability bands – including, and specifically, HA students.</li> </ul>	JIW	<ul> <li>360 Subject Reviews:</li> <li>Autumn, spring and summer terms:</li> <li>Quality of T&amp;L</li> <li>Quality of student work</li> <li>PDBW</li> <li>Data analysis after each data collection.</li> </ul>			
1. Improving Teaching and Learning	1.2 Y11 DA student conferences for maths and English GCSE.	Maths conference £3000 English conference £2600	<ul> <li>Increased progress and improved outcomes for DA students in English and Maths GCSE.</li> </ul>	HVH/CEC	Student feedback on impact of conference. Professional predictions.			
1. Improving Teaching and Learning	1.3 Whole college and bespoke CPD on planning to meet the needs of specific groups of students, including DA students.	£0	• Evidence in Context Folders, in lesson observations and drop-ins and in student books/folder that staff are aware of the specific DA students in <i>all</i> years and are planning to meet their needs.	JIW	<ul> <li>360 Subject Reviews:</li> <li>Autumn, spring and summer terms:</li> <li>Quality of T&amp;L</li> <li>Quality of student work</li> <li>PDBW</li> </ul>			

1.	Improving Teaching and Learning	<ul> <li>1.4 Targeted literacy and numeracy intervention and support incl.: RML Fresh Start, Spelling intervention, Inference training; EAL provision, maths 1:1 and small group.</li> <li>Y10 and Y11 English and Maths additional support for underachieving DA/HA.</li> </ul>	30% Literacy HLTA £5348.70 30% EAL TA £4286.10 30% Maths TA £4286.10	<ul> <li>Disadvantaged students will meet at least National average in Basic measures at 4+ and 5+ by the end of Year 11.</li> <li>Whilst 'catch-up' funding is used well to accelerate the progress of eligible Year 7 students, a significant number of DA students need continued literacy and numeracy intervention in Y8 and Y9.</li> </ul>	NAA/JB/NIC	Half-termly meetings to review progress and agree further intervention.
1.	Improving Teaching and Learning	1.5 Improved access to learning resources e.g. revision guides, PiXL resources, calculators, dictionaries, ingredients, ICT hardware for disadvantaged students.	Revision guides £4600 Ipad subsidy £1000 Food Tech £1800 Eng Lit texts £1440 Dictionaries £200 Maths resources £1344	<ul> <li>SISRA analyses will show a closing of the attainment gap between disadvantaged and non- disadvantaged students across all subjects and ability bands – including, and specifically, HA students.</li> </ul>	Advocates	<ul> <li>PP Advocates will track the progress, attendance and behaviour of designated disadvantaged students every 2/3 weeks. This will be followed up with a communication with parents/carers.</li> <li>Heads of Year/ AchCo will more rigorously monitor the effectiveness of the advocacy programme.</li> </ul>
1.	Improving Teaching and Learning	1.6 Y9 PP action research project – accelerate the progress of underachieving MA PP students. Uni Pathways project – increasing no. PP attending top unis.	£900	<ul> <li>SISRA analyses will show a closing of the attainment gap between disadvantaged and non- disadvantaged MA students across all subjects.</li> </ul>	SYK	<ul> <li>360 Subject Reviews:</li> <li>Autumn, spring and summer terms:</li> <li>Quality of T&amp;L</li> <li>Quality of student work</li> <li>PDBW</li> <li>Data analysis after each data collection.</li> </ul>
		Total budgeted cost	£30,804.90	1	1	1

Actions	Impact	
1.1 DA first strategy relaunched 9/10	Data collections – evidence of gap in progress and attainment between DA and all students in current Y11,	however gap is
1.2 Maths, English and Science conferences successfully held.	decreasing in a number of measures and there is a year on year decrease.	
1.3 PP students identified in context folders;	DA students make good progress in intervention groups – particularly Fresh Start and Reading Buddies.	
DA focus of CPD.		
1.4 approx. 50% students on intervention are	Evidence of targeted intervention for DA students seen in 360 reviews and work scrutinies	
PP 1.4 Small group Eng (6) and Ma (4)		
intervention in place for HA/DA		
underachieving Y11 students		
1.5 Analysis of DA data after every data		
collection; Y11 underachieving DA students targeted for support by CLT; c/wk catch-up		
	of disadvantaged students across all year groups and reduce the proportion of DA students	s who are
persistently absent. (Amount allocat	ed £140,000)	

Strategic Objective	Chosen action / approach	Cost	Outcomes	Staff lead	Review
2. Improve Attendance	2.1 Extend "DA First" principles to apply to attendance and PA, ensuring that absence systems are applied consistently and resources are appropriately targeted towards our most disadvantaged students, in all year groups.	£0	<ul> <li>Overall attendance of disadvantaged students becomes broadly in line with or above the national average.</li> <li>The proportion of disadvantaged students who are PA is broadly in-line with the national average.</li> <li>The proportion of disadvantaged students who are represented in the college's fixed-term exclusion figures, continues to reduce.</li> </ul>	HCF	Fortnightly line-management meetings between AO and DHT (PDB&W) Weekly monitoring of behaviour and exclusion data and liaison with HOYs.

Total budgeted cost		£25,280			•
2. Improve Attendance	<ul> <li>2.3 Targeted intervention for vulnerable groups:</li> <li>'Dadaal' Project for underachieving, disadvantaged Somali students.</li> <li>TFTF (Think For The Future) mentor programme.</li> </ul>	Somali mentor April – July £7,000 Sept – April £7,500 50% TFTF programme £2,350	<ul> <li>Improved attendance of DA Somali students to at least 96%.</li> <li>Improved attendance of DA TFTF students to at least 96%.</li> </ul>	HCF	Half-termly impact reports.
2. Improve Attendance	<ul> <li>2.2 Dedicated Attendance/Welfare Officer time to monitor attendance and PA of DA students, meet parents, meet EWO, conduct home visits etc.</li> <li>Systematic use of penalty notices and Attendance Orders where appropriate.</li> </ul>	40% Attendance officer time allocation £8430	<ul> <li>Pre-emptive approach to absence and PA to ensure:</li> <li>Overall attendance of disadvantaged students becomes broadly in line with or above the national average.</li> <li>The proportion of disadvantaged students who are PA is broadly in-line with the national average.</li> </ul>	HCF	Fortnightly line-management meetings between AO and DHT (PDB&W) Fortnightly meetings between AO and EWO.

Actions	Impact				
2.1 DA First strategy relaunched 9.10.18					
2.2 YL specific focus on TFTF targeted students – text home trial.	Latest attendance figures (May 2019): All 95.2%, DA 93.6% (improvement of 1.0% from same time last year).				
<ul><li>2.3 No. students on mentor projects:</li><li>4/7 Uni pathways</li></ul>	Persistent absence latest figures (May 2019): All 11.25%, DA 18.62% (0.38% decrease from same time last year).				
25/49 TFTF project (spring term: 29/56) 9/12 Somali project	Attendance of DA Y7 tutor group (7STC) 96.2%; attendance of all Y7 95.6%.				
16/23 Mosaic Mentoring	12/25 DA students on TFTF programme (Dec 2018) had attendance above 94%.				
	11/25 DA students on TFTF programme (Dec 2018) increased their attendance from last year.				
	12/23 DA students on TFTF programme (April 2019) had attendance above 94%.				
	17/23 DA students on TFTF programme (April 2019) increased their attendance from last term.				
	ons of our disadvantaged students (and their parents/carers where necessary), build their 'cultural capital' to achievement are identified and overcome through targeted support. (Amount allocated £69, 690)				

Strategic Objective	Chosen action / approach	Cost	Outcomes	Staff lead	Review
3. Raising Expectations	3.1 Further develop the college's 'alternative provision' (The Base) to ensure that DA students who fall behind are swiftly re-engaged.	Base Leader £23,247 Base maths provision £6,764 Base English provision £7,451	<ul> <li>Students are making progress in line with expectations.</li> </ul>	NIC	Weekly monitoring meetings to review impact of provision on progress, behaviour and attendance.
3. Raising Expectations	3.2 Additional academic/ pastoral/ mentoring support for DA students who become disengaged from mainstream provision, often complicated by SEMH issues.	2 x Key workers £27,644 Learning mentors 70% cost x 3 £43,836.80 50% TFTF programme £2,350 Enstruct provision	<ul> <li>Students are making progress in line with expectations.</li> <li>Attitude to learning in all subjects is at least 'good'.</li> <li>Proportion of DA students being excluded is proportionally in line with all students.</li> </ul>	NIC/HCF	Weekly monitoring meetings to review impact of provision on progress, behaviour and attendance.

		April – July £4,800 Sept – April £10,800 Alternative provision (S Giles) £5,900				
3. Raising Expectations	<ul> <li>3.3 All DA (Y7 -13) students have named advocate who meets with them at least every 3 weeks and feeds back to parent/carer.</li> <li>All Y11 DA students have named advocate who meets with them at least every 2 weeks in IS sessions and feeds back to parent/carer.</li> </ul>	Staffing of IS sessions 8 x 1 hour/week £14,720 Non-contact sessions 48 (Y7-11 tutors) + Directors + CLT = 60 hours/week £115,200	•	Students are making progress in line with expectations. Attitude to learning in all subjects is at least 'good'. Attendance is at least 96%.	HOY/HCF/ JOH/CEC/ HVH	Two weekly review of attendance, behaviour and rewards data. Analysis of progress data on SISRA after every data collection.
3. Raising Expectations	3.4 Entitlement to subsidised work experience placement Dedicated 1:1 support from the Careers Advisor for all DA students linked to UCAS Progress.	Work experience subsidy £1,653 Careers Advisor 30% salary £5,400	•	All DA students successfully complete work experience. All DA students are supported at transition to secure education, training or employment when leaving Y11.	РМс	Review of Work Experience provision and success rates. NEET figures.
3. Raising Expectations	3.5 Extra-curricular/ enrichment activities programme including DoE, sport, drama, subsidised music tuition, HE visits, PiXL conferences, post-16 laptop scheme, food allowance, discretionary payments (post-16 bursary).	Trips and enrichment subsidy £5,786 4 x HOY tracking and monitoring 20% salary £20,000	•	All DA students are encouraged and supported to take part in a range of enrichment experiences.	JOH/HOYs Advocates	HOY Provision tracker.

3. Raising Expectations	3.6 Further development of student leadership roles (LORIC Leaders, Prefects, Student Ambassadors, Student Council)	50% cost of Student Leadership role £9,839	At least 50% of all student roles are held by DA stude		Half termly review of student leadership through impact report.
3. Raising Expectations	<ul> <li>3.7 Y7 DA Tutor groups – high quality support from experienced form tutors incl.</li> <li>Breakfast club Additional resources, enrichment opportunities etc.</li> <li>Y11 DA/HA students to be given additional support once/week in registration time e.g. revision strategies, planning for independent study, MH&amp;WB.</li> <li>Y9 DA/HA boys targeted intervention to improve attitude to learning in identified underachieving subjects.</li> </ul>	Breakfast club subsidy £200 Additional food £800 Travel £1000 Uniform £200	<ul> <li>Students are making progravith expectations.</li> <li>Attitude to learning in all si least 'good'.</li> <li>Attendance is at least 96%</li> </ul>	ubjects is at	Two weekly review of attendance, behaviour and rewards data. Analysis of progress data on SISRA after every data collection.
	Total budgeted cost £307,590.80				·

Actions	Impact
18.10.18	
3.1 33% DA students in Base	1 Y9, 1 Y10 and 1 Y11 students now fully integrated back into mainstream lessons.
3.2 DA form majority of students receiving	1 Y9, 1 Y10 and 1 Y11 students in the process of reintegration for some lessons.
additional support from key workers,	
mentoring, mentor programmes, vocational	Progress and attainment gap between DA and all in Y11 wider than last year…
provision e.g. Enstruct.	Positive attainment and progress predicted for DA students in Y10.
3.3 Advocate programme now running.	See appendix 7 for latest predictions.
3.4 All DA students signed up for work	
experience.	Attendance and PA – improving picture, gap is closing, but still a gap.
3.5 HOY tracking updated. Spring term focus	Half term 1 and 2 (2017/8 figures in brackets)
on provision of additional academic	Attendance All: 95.4 (95.3) DA: 93.9 (93.6)
mentoring, cultural experiences and mental	PA All: 10.75 (11.56) DA: 16.23 (18.32)
health support for DA students.	
3.6 23% students in leadership roles – needs	Half term 3 and 4 (2017/8 figures in brackets)
to be at least 50%	Attendance All: 94.9 (94.9) DA: 92.9 (93.1)
3.7 Between 15 - 20 regularly attending	PA All: 12.57 (13.39) DA: 21.53 (20.89)
breakfast club	
3.7 DA/HA pm registration sessions run for	Half term 5 (2017/8 figures in brackets)
20 Y11 students. (CEC)	Attendance All: 95.2 (94.9) DA: 93.6 (92.6)
3.7 Access for Y11 DA/HA to Sixth Form	PA All: 11.25 (12.21) DA: 18.62 (19.0)
Study Centre until 5pm.	
3.7 Improved attitude to learning in all	Behaviour – still a disproportionate number DA students receiving stage 3s and 4s, but improvement on last year:
targeted subjects for 60% (12/20) Y9 DA/HA	<ul> <li>42% students receiving S3 are DA (compared to 44% last year)</li> </ul>
boys.	<ul> <li>46% students receiving S4 are DA (compared to 54% last year)</li> </ul>
	-
	Exclusions –40% exclusions so far this year have been DA students (compared to 59% last year)
	We are working closely with academics from the University of Sussex researching the progress and behaviour of DA
	students. They have conducted a detailed analysis of mediating factors in DA students' views of school and we will be
	piloting a values affirmation intervention with students in 2019-20. Interventions like this have shown incredible results and
	we hope this is a simple tool that we can use very effectively in the future to help the progress of our DA students.
Total expenditure	£363,675.70 (349,690)

#### 7. Impact summary

#### Progress

Whilst there is still a gap between the progress of DA and non-DA students, the progress of our DA students continues to be better than progress of DA students nationally. The gap between P8 measure for DA and non-DA students is better than the national average from 2017 to 2021 predictions.

#### Attainment

Whilst there is still a gap between the attainment of DA and non-DA students, the attainment of our DA students continues to be significantly better than attainment of DA students nationally. The gap between A8 measure for DA and non-DA students is significantly better than the national average from 2017 to 2021 predictions.

#### Basic Measure 5+

The gap in attainment of the basic measure 5+ between DA and non-DA students is significantly better than national average for all years from 2017 to 2021 predictions. Likewise, the % of DA students achieving 5+ in English and maths is significantly above the national average for all years from 2017 to 2021.

#### Attendance

Whilst there is still a gap in the attendance and PA of DA and non-DA students, attendance and PA are continuing to improve and the gap is decreasing. Attendance and PA of DA students is significantly better than the national average.

Current DA attendance: 93.6% (NA 90.8%)

Current DA PA: 18.62% (NA 28.9%)

#### **Disadvantage Outcomes 2017 - 2022**

1. Key measures:

Ofsted Statement: To improve, disadvantaged pupils make progress at least in line with the national averages for other pupils.

## P8 Measure

#### 2018 national average<sup>1</sup> = 0 (DA = -0.44, non-DA = 0.13, gap is 0.57)

	P8 (DA)	P8 (non-DA)	Gap	
2017 (final)	-0.436	-0.105	0.331	
2018 (final)	-0.101	0.093	0.194	
2019 (April 19 predictions)	-0.649	-0.104	0.545	
2020 (April 19 predictions)	-0.459	0.091	0.550	
2021 (April 19 predictions)	0.245	0.557	0.312	

### A8 Measure

2018 national average = 44.5. (DA = 36.7, non-DA = 50.1, gap is 13.4)

	A8 (DA)	A8 (non-DA)	Gap	
2017 (final)	38.28	42.60	4.32	
2018 (final)	41.80	46.30	4.50	
2019 (April 19 predictions)	45.87	47.92	2.05	

2020 (April 19 predictions)	48.35	46.82	-1.53
2021 (April 19 predictions)	44.38	45.04	0.66
2022 (March 19 predictions)	51.79	52.85	1.06

# Basic Measure (5+)

# 2018 national average = 40.2% (DA = 24.9%, non-DA = 50.1%, gap is 25.2%)

	% 5+ in E&M (DA)	%5+ in E&M (non-DA)	Gap
2017 (final)	30.8%	34.8%	4%
2018 (final)	33.3%	43.7%	10.4%
2019 (April 19 predictions)	24.1%	30.9%	6.87%
2020 (April 19 predictions)	43.1%	36.9%	-6.2%
2021 (April 19 predictions)	41.8%	50.5%	8.7%
2022 (March 19 predictions)	53.3%	53.2%	-0.1%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All National Average data is from DfE publication Key Stage 4 Including Multi Academy Trust Performance 2018 (Revised), published 24/1/18